



# **COPYRIGHT LAW**

**Professor Rosemary J. Coombe\***

**COURSE MATERIALS**

**SPRING 1996**

**VOLUME I**

**FACULTY OF LAW**

**UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO**

**FOR THE CLASSROOM USE OF  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO  
STUDENTS ONLY**

**\*With the assistance of Anand Banerjee,  
Larry Reimer, and David Fewer**

Storage  
K  
2497.1  
C66  
1986  
v.1  
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

DEC 20 1995

FACULTY OF LAW  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**COPYRIGHT LAW**

Professor Rosemary J. Coombe\*

**COURSE MATERIALS**

**SPRING 1996**


**VOLUME I**

**FACULTY OF LAW**

**UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO**

**FOR THE CLASSROOM USE OF  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO  
STUDENTS ONLY**

\*With the assistance of Anand Banerjee,  
Larry Reimer, and David Fewer



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2018 with funding from  
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/copyrightlawcour01coom>

**COPYRIGHT LAW**

**1996**

Professor Rosemary J. Coombe\*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Volume One**

**A. HISTORY AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS**

Mark Rose, "The Author as Proprietor" (1988) . . . . .	1
Optional: Rosemary Coombe, "Challenging Paternity: Histories of Copyright" (1994) . . . . .	34
Edwin C. Hettinger, "Justifying Intellectual Property" (1989) .	52
David Vaver, "Some Agnostic Observations on Intellectual Property" (1991) . . . . .	59
Digital Dilemma (1992) . . . . .	68

**B. LEGAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE FRAMEWORK**

**I. CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK**

Section 91 of the Constitution . . . . .	69
Gendreau, "Recent Developments". . . . .	69

**II. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STATUTE AND COMMON LAW**

(i) Statutory Provisions . . . . .	71
(ii) Comment. . . . .	72
Estey J. in <u>Compo v. Blue Crest Music.</u> . . . .	72

**III. REGISTRATIONS AND NOTICE REQUIREMENTS (MARKING)**

Advantages of Registration . . . . .	73
Registration Forms . . . . .	74

---

\*With the assistance of Anand Banerjee, Larry Reimer and David Fewer

IV. COLLECTIVE ADMINISTRATION OF COPYRIGHT

- (i) Statutory Provisions . . . . . 76
- (ii) Discussion of Licensing Bodies, Hughes on  
Copyright and Industrial Design. . . . . 78  
Cancopy Licensing Agreement. . . . . 81  
Gillian Davies, "The Public Interest in Collective  
Administration of Rights (1989). . . . . 104

V. JURISDICTION OF COURTS

- Statutory Provision. . . . . 108
- Henderson Report (1991). . . . . 109
- Henderson Report Updated (1992). . . . . 122

C. AUTHORSHIP AND OWNERSHIP OF COPYRIGHT

I. STATUTORY AND TREATY PROVISIONS. . . . . 128

II. HOW THE LAW TREATS AUTHORSHIP

- Peter Jaszi, "Toward a Theory of Copyright: The  
Metamorphosis of 'Authorship'" (1991). . . . . 130
- David Vaver, Copyright (Osgoode 1993). . . . . 140
- Jessica Litman, "Copyright as Myth" (1991) . . . . . 145
- David Lange, "At Play in the Fields of the  
Word" (1992) . . . . . 149

III. DETERMINING THE AUTHOR

- Donoghue v. Allied Newspapers Ltd. . . . . . 158
- Kantel v. Grant. . . . . . 163

IV. THE PROCESS OF AUTHORSHIP IN THE REAL WORLD

- Excerpt: Martin Elliott, The Rolling Stones  
Complete Recording Sessions (1990) . . . . . 174

V. EXCEPTIONS TO AUTHOR AS OWNER PRINCIPLE

- (i) Photographs. . . . . 177
- (ii) Records and Perforated Rolls . . . . . 178



(iii)	Crown Works. . . . .	.178
	"Victoria Entrepreneur Beats Bureaucrats" (1992) . . .	.179
	<u>Upjohn v. Apotex</u> (1993). . . . .	.180
	Law Times (October 2, 1995). . . . .	.183
(iv)	Commissioned Works . . . . .	.184
(v)	Contract for Service . . . . .	.184
	<u>University of London Press Ltd.</u>	
	<u>v. University Tutorial Press Ltd.</u> . . . . .	.185
	<u>Beloff v. Pressdam Ltd.</u> . . . . .	.188
	<u>Amusements Wiltron v. Mainville.</u> . . . . .	.195
(vi)	Cinematographic Works. . . . .	.197
	Impact of NAFTA. . . . .	.197
	Gendreau, "Recent Developments" (1994) . . . . .	.198
VI.	<u>ASSIGNMENTS AND LICENSES</u> . . . . .	.199
	<u>Tedesco v. Bosa.</u> . . . . .	.200
D.	<u>PREREQUISITES FOR COPYRIGHT</u>	
I.	<u>ORIGINALITY.</u> . . . . .	.207
	D. Vaver, <u>Copyright</u> (Osgoode, 1993). . . . .	.207
	Jessica Litman, "The Public Domain" (1990) . . . . .	.213
	<u>Victoria Park Racing &amp; Recreation. Grounds Co. Ltd.</u>	
	<u>v. Taylor.</u> . . . . .	.227
	<u>Kilvington Bros. Ltd. v. Herbert Goldberg</u> . . . . .	.227
	<u>Feist Publications Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service</u> . .	.231
	David Vaver, <u>Copyright</u> (Osgoode, 1993) . . . . .	.236
	<u>Fletcher v. Polka Dot</u> (1993) . . . . .	.237
	<u>Alwest Neon Signs Ltd. v. 464460 Alberta Ltd. et al.</u> .	.244
	Pareles, "Digital Technology Changing Music (1986) . .	.247
	Comments . . . . .	.248
II.	<u>IDEA/EXPRESSION DICHOTOMY</u>	
	<u>Moreau v. St-Vincent</u> . . . . .	.229
	<u>Cuisinaire v. South West Imports Ltd.</u> . . . . .	.249
	<u>Horn Abbot Ltd. v. W. B. Coulter Sales Ltd.</u> . . . . .	.251
	Amy B. Cohen, "Copyright Law and the Myth of	
	Objectivity" (1990). . . . .	.257
	Sipos, "Warning All Authors! Your Own 'Style'	
	May Infringe Your Own Work" (1989) . . . . .	.273

Rosen, "Reconsidering the Idea/Expression Dichotomy" . . . . .	.281
<u>Sid &amp; Marty Krofft Television Productions Inc.</u> <u>v. McDonald's Corp.</u> . . . . .	.288
Poston, "All Puff and No Stuff: Avoiding the Idea/Expression Dichotomy" (1989). . . . .	.291
<u>Apple Computer v. Mackintosh Computers</u> . . . . .	.296
Capes, "The Software Copyright 'Super Patent'" . . . . .	.297

### III. FIXATION

Statutory Provision. . . . .	.310
<u>Canadian Admiral Corp. Ltd. v. Rediffusion Inc.</u> . . . . .	.311
David Vaver, <u>Copyright</u> (Osgoode, 1991) . . . . .	.312
<u>Titan Sports v. Mansion House</u> . . . . .	.313

### IV. SUBJECT MATTER

Statutory Provisions . . . . .	.315
--------------------------------	------

#### (i) Literary Works

##### (a) What is a Literary work?

<u>Hollinrake v. Truswell</u> . . . . .	.316
<u>Exxon Corp. v. Exxon Insurance Consultants Ltd.</u> . . . . .	.321
<u>Euclid Industries Canada Ltd. v. Reg Holloway</u> <u>Sales Inc.</u> . . . . .	.325

##### (b) Compilations

The Impact of the NAFTA Amendment Act. . . . .	.328
<u>B.C. Jockey Club v. Standen</u> . . . . .	.329
<u>Elanco Products Ltd. v. Mandops Agrochemical</u> <u>Specialists) Ltd</u> . . . . .	.333
David Vaver, <u>Copyright</u> (Osgoode, 1993) . . . . .	.339
<u>Canadian Admiral v. Rediffusion</u> . . . . .	.343
<u>Bulman Group Ltd. v. "One Write" Accounting</u> <u>Systems Ltd.</u> . . . . .	.343
<u>Feist Publications v. Rural Telephone</u> <u>Service Co.</u> . . . . .	.344
Harris, "Free For the Taking". . . . .	.347

##### (c) Computer Programs

<u>Apple Computer v. MacIntosh Computers</u> , (F.C.T.D.), (F.C.A.), (S.C.C.). . . . .	.353
<u>Systèmes Informatisés Solartronix v. Collège</u> <u>d'enseignement général et professionnel</u>	



<u>de Jonquière. . . . .</u>	.358
<u>Nintendo of America Inc. v. Camerica Corp. . . . .</u>	.363
<u>Delrina Corp v. Triolet Systems Inc. et al.. . . .</u>	.367
<u>Prism Hospital Software Inc. et al. v. Hospital</u>	
<u>Medical Records Institute et al. . . . .</u>	.389
<u>Whelan and Associates, Inc. v. Jaslow</u>	
<u>Dental Laboratory, Inc.. . . . .</u>	.400
<u>Lotus Development Corp. v. Paperback Software</u>	
<u>International (headnote only). . . . .</u>	.404
<u>Apple Computer, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.. . . . .</u>	.408
<u>Engineering Dynamics, Inc. v. Structural</u>	
<u>Software, Inc. . . . .</u>	.416
Samuelson, "Software Compatibility and the Law".	.426

